

Previous references.

1901-3

File No. 17108.2

Serial Nos. 1-8

Later references.

(Separate Revenue)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-8

Major Part B. for May 1904

SUBJECT.

Inam Toddy Claims of Mula Kunte
Village Tumkur Tq.

Sl. From The Exchequer Comr in Mysore
No 4698/302. Dtd $\frac{9}{14}$ - 2-03

Submits a record of enquiry relating
to the Toddy Rev of Jodi Mula Kunte Village
together with an extract from the Inam
Quit Rent Register.

Sl. To The Supt of Inam Settlements in Mysore
No 174 Ex F 11901 dated 28-8-03

G. R. S. 58

Requests to furnish with a copy of the
Inam Register of Enquiry relating to the
Jodi Mula Kunte Village

Sl 3. From The Supt. Inam Settlements.
No 35. 4th Sept 1903.

Forwards as requested a copy of the Inam Register of Mula Kunte Village

Sl 4. To The Ex. Comm. in Mysore
No 272 / ex F 11 of 01. D/21-9-03

Returns the enclosures of his letter.

No 4698 D/ 9-2-03 and forwards a
302

Copy of the Inam Register of enging & engines if, on perusal of the Register, he is satisfied, that the Toddy ^{Excise} Rev was allocated to the Joddar

Sl 5. From The Excise Comm in Mysore
No 2624 / 125. 23rd Sept 1903.

Re submit with opinion the record of enging et c. relating to Toddy Rev of Mula Kunte Inam Village

Sl 6. To The Supt. of Inam Settlements.
No 527 / Ex F 11 of 01. D/29-1-04
Forwards the record connected with the Inamdar's claim to the Toddy Rev. and requests explanation for discrepancy, between the Suit Rent Register & the Inam Settlement Register.

Sl 7. From. The Supt. of Inam Settlement.
No. 90. D/ 9/2/04.

States that the entry in the Suit Rent Register is incorrect & the decision in the Inam Register should be taken as final

Sl 8. To the Ex. Comm. in Mysore.
The Comptroller. No 754-755. 19.9.04
Disallows the claim of the Inamdar of Mula Kunte Village to the Toddy Excise Revenue of the Village

S. R. 171-a.2

8/17/1912

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE,
(REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS).

(Full Office Note.)

Subject. E. Concess (Revenue) 4698
352
S. R. 171/629 'Relief'.

Revenue today (Revenue) - Jodi
Mulla Kunte village, Tumkur
Taluk, Tumkur Dist.

*
Note. The village has a date
stone, but no today shop.
The receipt granted from
the Taluk Office for the
Jodi amount credited to
the Treasury for the years
1852-53, 61-62, 62-63, 63-64, 64-65
& 66-67 indicate that the
revenue from the village
was today ~~was~~ 800
credited to the Jodi
included in the amount of the
amount due to Govt.
village.

WB
The items of revenue alienated
are not mentioned in the
title deed; but these are
enumerated in Col. 17 of

- * 1. No. and date of latest letter or paper.
2. Catch words to show nature of subject.
3. Subject to be in red and body of note in black ink.

- * 1. Statement of case in narrative form in regular sequence of subject matter or date.
2. Opinions to be stated only as supported by precedents, or general rules which should always be quoted.
3. Serial numbers in file, &c., to be always stated.
4. Dates of important documents referred should be given.
5. Write on both sides, leaving the same side as margin.

the extract of the Quit
Rent paper, which includes
"toddy". This kind of entry
in many instances is inter-
posed to represent only
"tree rent" or the presence
to be denied by leaving out
the de to trees.

A perusal of the Income Paper
of requiring seems necessary
to ascertain whether
the absence of presence from
toddy was really conceded.
A draft calling for it is sent.

In support of the enjoyment of the
presence by the incumbent
for 12 yrs prior to 1892-93.
only a stenciled mechanical
certificate by a licensee on
15th July is produced.
So 12 yrs enjoyment has to be presumed.

Noted. Draft issued vide no. 74
7/11/01 25/5/03.
75/8/03

Note (contd.)

The Income Register is forwarded
to the Superintendent and it discloses the fact
that the toddy Excise revenue was not conceded.
But the Excise Comr. & the inspecting Officer would
not be responsible.

Resist

+ vide Col: 20 of the
Register marked in blue

Hand

3

and the Dy. Commr also say,
that the Inam Settlement concedes the Excise
Revenue. Upon what grounds this assumption
is based, it is not clear.

11/7/9/03. Put up draft docket forwarding
the copy of the Inam Regⁿ to
the Excise Com^r & enquiring if, on
a personal theory, he is satisfied
that the toddy excise revenue was
alienated to the Inamdar.

Sd/-
11/9/9/03.

19/9/03

Note Cont^d

The above having been duly communicated
in this office on 24th of 21.9.03, the Excise Commr.
in reply thereto states that he still holds
the opinion that "toddry" shown under the head
of "Items of revenue granted to the Inamdars"
in the Audit-rent Report means the Excise
revenue from toddy and not the ~~beverage~~
rent on the toddy trees. The reason ~~also~~ given
is that the Inam Com^r does not seem to have approved
of the verdict of this Special Assistant in reserving
to the right of the toddy ^{excise} revenue of the Jodai village
and also on the score that "no deduction has been

Made

made from the Jodi of the village as compensation
to Laddy?

Here ~~is~~ ^a point to be considered in the present case;
(1) Whether the Inam Comm^t has really not agreed with the
finding of his Special Assistant in the Statement
that the Jodidars are not entitled to the Laddy excise
revenue, or not.

~~(2)~~
From the perusal of the Inam Register of enquiry
with reference to the above point, the assumption
that the Inam Comm^t did not agree with ~~his~~ his
Special Assistant, admits of doubt, since the Special
Asst^t after recording his views with respect to the ~~case~~
right to the ab Kari, ~~held~~ held that the Inam may be
confirmed on Combined quit rent of Rs 222-4-0, and
merely recorded thereunder the order of confirmation thereto - Conf^d subject to a suit
The Inam Comm^t ~~was~~ ^{was} ~~not~~ ^{not} ~~concurring~~ ^{concurring} with the views of his Sp^l Assistant in all his views.
~~May the Excise Com^t be communicated and the record~~
~~of the case be sent to the Superintendent of Inam Settlement~~
~~for an expression of his opinion in regard to the right to the~~
~~Laddy excise revenue of the village?~~
~~But? for favour of whom?~~

~~It is to be noted.~~
The Excise Comm^t ^{put} urges in support of his
view that "the accounts and documents on the file
prove that the amounts enjoyed by the Jodidar
were on account of Laddy." But these are
prior in date to the Inam Settlement
(vide page 10 of this note) and must have been produced

are used in them
N4/p03. N4/2 borders.

1992

Draft Submitted.

14/12/3 He

James M. Smith

7 Recd 4/1/04. Submitted

Submitted with draft. 142

9.1.52.

Is not the just rent register
bound to follow the title - deeds?
Is there any explⁿ for the difference?

At
16/1/4

Ex. Br. I think we shall have to com-
municate with the man office on this
point. Any information available here? ^{16.1.04}

Noted

1104/16 is forth coming. The Deane
subject must be written to.

¹⁸¹¹
16-1-04. Put up draft. ^{16.1.04}

Note continued

With reference to the above
enquiry the subject of Deane ^{1104/16 9/2/04}

De Blenheim states that the
(ie. 1104/16 is cl. 17. as one of the ideas of persons granted to the Deans)

entry in the just rent register
is a mistake in copy & the
decision in the Deane Register
should be taken as final.

A draft ^{order} in the full way, it is
suggested, therefore be approved for approval
16/1/04 Subd to the Deane
tho' the 2^d of the Deane 16/2/04

The entry in the Quit rent Register is reported to be incorrect. Eminent has not been cancelled either by the Register of Enquiry or by the Title Deed. Nor has fifty years' uninterrupted enjoyment been established. Enjoyment before the Inam settlement even if true cannot help the ^{Indori} ~~Indori~~ case. Subsequent enjoyment, if any, related only to the trees and not to Excise revenue. On the whole, I am of opinion that the ^{Indori} ~~Indori~~ on which the owner has not substantiated his claim. I therefore agree to the order proposed. The Appraiser, the Dy Commissioner & the Excise Commissioner have all proceeded on the assumption that the Inam claim was recognized by the Inam Dept. It now turns out that such is not the case. — Rb/2/04

15924
17.2.04.

1862

The little described
contains only about
1000 - 5000 - 1000
in. No. 1000 are mentioned
for comparison I got two
other little seeds which were
provided today - & in both
of them today when covered
is specially mentioned. This
are put in a box - under
all the circles must hold
that the little blood is
not proved - in a small
circle of the material
in the draft signed
(unt)

Subd to the 1st for personal 12. v. 04
ll 14/5/04

I have made an
addition as suggested
by the Deacon - the
draft manipulated.

ll 16/5/04

18/5/04



The items of Jayer and Toddy are
Conceded. (Sd) J.P.G.

Gr N: 51824

Quit-rent register N: 751

Issued in lieu of the same N: 8
Title cancelled. (Sd) J.P.G. Grant

31.1.82. Suppl. of Inam Settlements

Title deed granted to
B. Krishna Rao, Esq.
C. S. D.

1. On behalf of the Chief Commissioner for the Government of the Territories of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, I acknowledge your title to a personal Inam, the village of Kaggipura Samamanga in the Taluk of Chopeet of the District of Bangalore in the Mandi Division, as per particulars mentioned in the annexed Schedule.
2. This Inam by the terms of the Sanad is heritable and transferable, and it is confirmed to you, your heirs or assignees on those terms in perpetuity, so long as you or they are faithful subjects of the State.

Schedule

Description of Inam					Assessment		Tenure Johi	Quit-rent Dyfram Chicamant	Combined Quitrent	Bundaries
Serials	old no. or name	Survey no.	old extent in Khandis 4	Survey area 5	old valuation 6	Survey assessment 7				
	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
			Pirumashih extent is not available							
					926-10-1					

3. This Guam is moreover subject to the payment of general, local, and municipal taxes, and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation in common with ordinary Kaudayem Ryots.

* Columns 5, 7 & 11 are to be filled up hereafter by the Survey Department.

(Sd) Robt Cole
Supt of Guam Settlements
&
For Guam Commissioners

Guam Superintendents' Office
Dated 1st July 1880

(Seal)

Case No. 1
Fred (Sd) D.S.

Reverse

to all the Ryots
to report the same.

to all the Ryots to report the same.

Names of Ryots	Shares or Rittis to all the Ryots	Former Ryots to all the Ryots	Combined quit-rent to all the Ryots of 1880
----------------	--------------------------------------	----------------------------------	---

to all the Ryots
to all the Ryots
to all the Ryots

ac co

(Sd) Robt Cole
Superintendent of Guam Settlements.

(Sd) D.S.

to all the Ryots
to all the Ryots

Copy
Spinkham,
Head Clerk, Guam Settlements
Office

G.L. No 62086
 F.D. No 493.

(90) P.P.

The items of Sayer and Taddy and
 all Forest rights except Sandal
 are conceded.
 (Sd) J. P. Grant

Title-deed granted to

B. Krishnairangar Esquire C. S. I

on behalf of His Highness The Maharaja
 Mysore, I acknowledge your title to a personal
 Inam, the village of Viragaballi Sarwanuranga
 in the Taluk of Chopeet of the District of Bangalore
 in the Nandidroog Division, as per particulars
 mentioned in the annexed Schedule.

2. This Inam by the terms of the Sanwad is
 heritable and transferable, and it is confirmed
 to you, your heirs, or assignees on those terms
 in perpetuity, so long as you or they are faithful
 subjects of the State.

Schedule.

Particulars	Description of Inam				Assessment		Former Jodi	Quit-rent for enfranchisement	Corn leased quit-rent	Boundaries
	old No or name	Survey No	old extent in kandis	Survey of acres	old valuation	Survey assessment				
1			not available							
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										
29										
30										
31										
32										
33										
34										
35										
36										
37										
38										
39										
40										
41										
42										
43										
44										
45										
46										
47										
48										
49										
50										
51										
52										
53										
54										
55										
56										
57										
58										
59										
60										
61										
62										
63										
64										
65										
66										
67										
68										
69										
70										
71										
72										
73										
74										
75										
76										
77										
78										
79										
80										
81										
82										
83										
84										
85										
86										
87										
88										
89										
90										
91										
92										
93										
94										
95										
96										
97										
98										
99										
100										
Total					775-12-9				Free	

3. This Duam is moreover subject to the payment of general, local, and Municipal taxes, and a proportionate share of the cost of the repairs of Government works of irrigation in common with Kandayem Ryots.

Duam Superintendents' Office
 20th September /81

(sd) J. P. Grant
 Superintendent of Duam
 Settlements

(Seal)

G. N. 1

Exd. (sd) V. 2.

Reverse.

to and, the same (sd) 20th
 of the same of the same
 as of the same of the same

to and, the same (sd) 20th

Names	Shares or Rithis	Former Jodi	Combined Quit-rent	
to and	to and	to and	to and	

to and, the same (sd) 20th
 of the same of the same
 as of the same of the same

to and.

(sd) V. 2.

(sd) J. P. Grant
 Superintendent of Duam
 Settlements.

Copy


Deviachar

Head Clerk Duam
 Superintendents' Office.

to and, the same (sd) 20th
 of the same of the same
 as of the same of the same

111/200
81.1801

E-307



1903

S. R.

171-02

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 9th } February 1903.
Despatched 14 }

Received

General No. 4698
No. 302

of file Enclosure No. 1 and

Subject.

Submits The record of enquiry
relating to the Toddy Revenue of
Jodi Mallukunte village, Dinkur
Taluk, together with an extract
from the Inam Spirit Rent Register.

4 cu

67.20,

note & draft
pick-up - true
20/8/03

Excise Commissioner's office,
Bangalore, dated 9th February 1903.

N^o. 4698 Excise and a file
302

From,

The Excise Commissioner,
in Mysore,

To,

The Secretary to the Government of
H. H. The Maharaja of Mysore, General
and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In submitting herewith the record of enquiry relating to the Toddy Revenue of Jodi Mullukunte village, Sumkur Taluk, together with an extract from the Inam Quit Rent Register, I have the honor to state that I concur in the Deputy Commissioner's opinion that the Jodidar is entitled to Excise Revenue from Toddy. There is no Toddy shop, but there is a date grove in the village. Accordingly Excise Revenue is payable to him, subject to Rules 2, 5, and 13 in Government Proceedings No. 2074-83 R.F. 166-92 dated 2nd August 1901.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

S. Venkata Lakshmi

For Excise Commissioner
in Mysore.

2

Memo of decision passed in re the claim
of the Jodidars of the Mulu Kunte village
to the Joddy revenue thereof.

The Inamdars are entitled to Shendi
Revenue. The Inam Superintendent has
recognized their right. From the Sirkari
accounts produced it is clear that there
was a shop in this village till about 1870
and that the Sirkar was receiving the
amount from the Izardar and crediting
the same to the Jodi due. The shop must
have been arbitrarily closed on the complaint
of the Izardars as stated by the Inamdars
and I can treat themselves only under Rule 13,
on the village population of 446 or 119 Rs 4 as 11 p
per annum commencing from 1898-99. Enjoy-
ment of trees between 1880 to 1892-93 has also
been proved by a stamped document.

During 92-93 - 96-97 the groves fetched
Rs 75-4 as and after deducting advances,
local cess and establishment charges they
are entitled to 39 Rs-8 as-7 pias.

Jumkur } (Signed) J. Paramasiva Iyer.
21st March 1902 } General Assistant Commissioner

I concur
22nd December 1902 (Signed) M. S. Narayana Rao
Deputy Commissioner.

"Copy"

~~Shri~~ Shri Narayana Rao
~~Deputy~~ Deputy Commissioner

3. A. C. C. C. of a claim to the Toddy Revenue enquired into by the General Assistant Commissioner Sunkur District.

Source of name of Sunkur	Source of name of Sunkur	Source of name of Sunkur	Source of name of Sunkur	Source of name of Sunkur	Document relied upon	Witnesses examined	Finding	Amount awarded if any	Grounds of decision
Tumkur	Honnudi Ke	Mulukunte	Subbahlatta and others.			Nil	Entitled to toddy revenue	39 8 7	The Sunkur Superintendent recognizes the title of the godidars to the Toddy Revenue of the village.

Dated 8th December 1902

"Copy"

Commissioner

For Deputy Commissioner

Signed, S. Parameswara Iyer,
General Assistant Commissioner
Sunkur District.

Sl. No 2

4

No 174
of 28/8/03.

To the Superintendent
of Income Settlements

Dec 10
29-2-03

Has the honour
to request the favour
of being furnished
with a copy of the
Income Register of
enquines relative
to the godi Mulla-
kunte village, Homioke
Waleli of the Tumkur
Taluk.

Ble

Over

For Secy

True
25/8/03

Sl. No.

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF

INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,

BANGALORE.

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 4th

Despatched 4th

Received

September

No. 35

of 1903-04

Enclosure No. One

As requested in his docket
No 174
of the 28th August 1903,
has the honor to forward herewith
a copy of the inam register of
Mallakunte Village, Tumkur
Taluk.

[Signature]

Superintendent
of Inam Settlements
in Mysore

By

[Signature]

S. G. O.

Recd 7.9.03

Sl. No. 1

Office Draft. (Docket.) **6**

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To

The Excise Commissioner
in Mysore

Date of passing the draft

Date of despatch

21.9.03

Regd. No.

File No. & date (if any)

272

24.7.01

No. of Encls.

17 File. Income Report
of Enquiry

In returning herewith

~~his letter no. 4698 of 9.2.03 and~~
~~the~~
~~302~~

enclosures in re the Jodidar's
claim to the toddy revenue
of Madhukunte village. From his
~~also a copy of the Income Report~~
~~of Enquiry the village~~, has the
honor to enquire if on perusal
of the Income Report, he is satisfied
that the toddy excise revenue
was alienated to the Jodidar

I received his letter
no. 4698 59/2/03
302

I forward a copy of the
Income Report of enquiry
of the village & to

"By order".

For Secretary.

1670 2980
1903

From *P. I. Barnaya Esq. B.A.*
Excise Commissioner

To *H. V. Kariyandayya Esq. M.A. B.A.*
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 23rd }
Despatched 2-83 } *September 1903*

Received

General No. *2674*
No. *125*

Enclosure No 1 file

Subject

Resubmits with opinion
the record of enquiry relating
to the Taddy revenue of
Muchkunte Gram village,
Jumkur taluk.

4 min
29.9.03

10674 Council bills.
125
Excise Commissioners Office

Dated Bangalore 23rd September 1903.

From

P. K. Bunnaiya Esquire B.A.

Excise Commissioner in Mysore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire B.A. B.L.

Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In resubmitting herewith the record
of enquiry relating to the Toddy revenue
of Melukunte Inam village, Tumkur
taluk, I have the honor to state that
this village was settled in April 1870,
as per Inam Settlement Register, before
the Inam Commissioner's Proceedings No
16, dated 13th February 1871, was issued.
The Special Assistant to the Inam
Commissioner as per entry in Column
20 of the Settlement Register, proposed
that the items of Rs 5-0-0 shown
"in the collection under the head of
"Toddy, may be regarded as the ground
"rent of the shops, in which that article
is

is sold and not conceded to the Jodidars
as license for permitting liquor to be
sold in the village. In the latter case,
it should also be resumed under the
present system of the Administration
of the Abkari revenue. Exclusive privilege
of farming toddy belongs to the State,
and not to private individuals, even
though the farm is situated on private
estates. But the Inam Commissioner
did not approve of the above proposal,
as he simply ordered — "Confirmed
subject to a quit rent of Rs 222". There
is nothing to show on what grounds
the Special Assistant based his proposal
to treat the collection of Rs 5-0-9 shown
under the head of Toddy of the village,
as the ground rent of the shop in which
the toddy was sold. No deduction has
been made from the Jodi of the village
as compensation for Toddy. The accounts
and documents on the file, prove that
the amounts enjoyed by the Jodidars, are
were on account of Toddy. I am therefore
still of opinion that "Toddy" shown under
the head — "Items of revenue granted to
the

"the Incubators" in the Fruit Rent Registry,
I mean the Excise Revenue from Taddy,
and not the rent of Taddy trees.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

John Smith Esq
For Excise Commission

W. J. in support.

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To

The Superintendent of
Income Settlements.

Date of passing the draft

Date of despatch

29/1/04

Regd. No.

527

File No. & date (if any)

267/1-01

No. of Encls.

1 file.

In forwarding
herewith for reference
& favour of return
the record connected
with the irregular
claim to the Toddy
garden of Mulhant
village, Tumkur Taluk
has the honor to ^{draw} state
that "Toddy" is entered
in col. 17 of the extract
of the Quit Rent
Register as one of the
items of revenue

Mysore Government,
General & Revenue Secretariat.

To

The Superintendent of
Deccan Settlements

Date of passing the draft

Date of despatch

29/1/04

Regd. No.

File No. & date (if any)

527

267/1-01

No. of Encls.

1 file.

I am forwarding
herewith for reference
& favour of return
the record connected
with the irregular
claim to the Toddy
garden of Mulhant
village, Tumkur Taluk
has the honor to ^{advise} state
that "Toddy" is entered
in col. 17 of the extract
of the Revenue Survey Report
as one of the
items of revenue

granted to the Indians
while the terms of the
License ^{as recorded in the Reg: of Enquiry} be given
to those persons, the
Maddox & Co. of the 11th Dec granted to the Indians
contain no allusion to this item of revenue; and
to ~~the Reg: of Enquiry~~ ~~therefore~~, the
provision of being performed
whether the Maddox & Co.
provision has been ~~conceded~~
~~to the Indians & if not~~
be on the contrary, in the
quite great proportion is
to be accumulated for

Be

24/1/74

Forbear

18/1/74
29.1.74

Sl. No 7 — Ex 326 9/12/2/04.
1904

12

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT



BANGALORE.

TO

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 9th

Despatched 10

Received

February.

No. 90

of 1903 — 04

Enclosure No. one file

His docket No 527 of the
Ex. F. 11.01 of the
29th January 1904 requesting ex-
planation for the discrepancy
between the quit-rent register and
the Inam Settlement register of
Mulkunte Jodi village in the
Jomkhar Taluk in the matter
of the Inamdar's title to the
Joddy revenue.

With reference to the
above has the honor to state
that, as already observed in
this Office docket No 41 of the
8th September 1903, the entry
in the quit-rent register is
incorrect and the decision
in the Inam Register should
be taken as final. As regards
the right of the Inamdar

Note continued
suled
for
12/2/04.

MGCPB—28-12-02—200

to

~~Signature~~

^B
to lease the trees to the Government Contractor, it is
a concession involved in the tenure, and as such,
it need not have been specifically made.

2. The enclosures of the docket, under reply, are
herewith returned. —

J. B.

Wm. J.
Superintendent of Income
Saddanur in Mysore

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Read: (1) Correspondence
ending with letter
no 2674-125 of 28/7/03,
from the Excise Commr
in Mysore, relating
to the Samudra's
claim to the today
revenue of Mulhant
village, Tumkur
Taluk, Tumkur
Dist.

Docket no. 90, of 7.2.1904, from
the Secy of Income Settlements,
stating that the entry of
the word "today" in Cal. 17 of
the Quit Rent Regs. is incorrect
& that the decision in the
Income Revision should be
taken as final.

Order: No

W

The village has
^{date} only a stone but
no today shop. The
Samudra's claim
alienating the
village do not

Revised draft
as instructed
Sule.
16/2/04

4/merely Concede
the item of today
to the inaudans,
Nor is ~~it is not~~
any reference made
to it in the Deane Title
Deed. ~~But in Vol. 17~~
~~of the Deane Quit Rent~~
~~Refers "today" is included~~
~~as one of the~~ ^{items of} ~~premises~~
~~granted to the inaudans.~~
^{Moreover} ~~whereas~~ when the
Deane was published in
1870 the Sp. anto
~~for~~ ~~recommen~~
that the Deane may
be confirmed on a
combined quit rent
of \$222/- per annum,
at the same time, that
the item of \$50-9
shown in the Collection
under the head of
today ~~is~~ ^{is} ~~referred~~
as the ground rent
of the shops in which
the article ^{was} ~~is~~ sold
is not conceded to
the inaudans as license

In such cases The expression
'Today' in such cases generally
means 'Tree Rent' only.

16

license for permitted
liquor to be sold in
the village and that
~~the license was~~
under the present
system of the adminⁿ
of the Altkani revenue
et cetera privilege
of farming toddy
belonged to the state
& not to private
individuals although
the farm was situated
on private estate.

The Deam Com^{rs}
thereon simply re-
corded ^{the} order for
"Confirmation" subject
to a Quit rent of Rs
222/- ^{Next Par.} The receipt
for the years 1852-53,
1861-62 to 1866-67 said
to have been given
to the mandan
from the Dalit. Try
indicated that the
revenue from toddy
was credited towards

A. From this the conclusion
is reasonably clear that the
Deam Com^{rs} agreed with the
views of the Sp^l Asst^t in
not conceding the Toddy Revenue
to the mandan.

the pro amount
due to Govt. But
these documents
date prior to the
income settlement &
must have been
produced at the
income settlement.

In support of subse-
quent receipt of this
today revenue by the
inamdar only one
mucchali ka of 15/2/86
is produced.

The investigating officer,
the Dy Commr of Tumkur
& the Dy Commr in
my zone are all ^{of opinion} ~~of opinion~~
~~fact~~ that the above
~~circumstances~~ facts
establish the claim of the inamdar
to the Dy Commr revenue
from today, the last
named officer arguing
that the Dy Commr
did not approve
of the special order's

proposal to procure
this revenue to Govt.
in as much as there
is nothing to show
on what grounds the
Special Act passed,
his proposal & no
compensation deduction
has been made from
the poor of the village
as compensation for
today.

Govt. should consi-
der that the Special
Council's argument is
free from doubt &
that the word "today"
embodied in the Quit
Rent Regs. ~~is used~~
refers to seize revenue
unlike general other
similar cases. The claim is
therefore allowed

140

9.1.

See of
to Revenue Commr in reference
gaining records.
18/11/04

Mysore Govt. Gen. & Rev. Secretariat,
Excise Branch.

Office Draft.

Progs. of the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja
of Mysore.

File No. (if any) and its date—

Cl.
16/2/04

Read: (1) Correspondence
received with the Hon. no
2674-125 of 28th Sept 03,
from the Excise Commr
in Mysore, relating
to the Inamdar's claim
to the toddy revenue
of Mulhante village,
Tumkur Taluk Tumkur
District;

(2) Order no. 90, 89th
dated 04, from the Sd/-
of Inam Settlement
Order:
stating that the
entry of the word
"toddy" in col. 17 of
the Quich Revenue Register
is incorrect & that
the decision in
the Inam Register
should be taken as
final

Order there on:

No 754-5

187 4.7.11-01

19.5.04

10

The village had only a date of one but no today shop. The samad & nine a liona king the village do not & present. Consider the item of today to the in-land. At the same time in 1870, the same day produced accounts showing that the village had a revenue of Rs 5.0.9 every year from 1859 to 1868, and with reference to ~~the~~^{which} the Special Assistant to the same Comm remarked as follows:-
"The item of Rs 5.0.9

* M B Gopaliah

U No. 5-0-9 shown in the
Collection under the
head of toddy may be
regarded as the in-
ground rent of the
shops in which that
article is sold and
not conceded to the
joddans as license
for permitting liquor
to be sold in the
village. In the latter
case it should also
be presumed. Under
the present system
of the Administration
of the Alkani revenue
exclusive privilege
of farming toddy
belongs to the state
& not to the private
individuals although
the farm is situated
on private estates.
The result of the
investigation

was

23

are entitled to the
exemption from
toddy and urge the
following grounds
for considering that
the item of toddy
mentioned in the
Quit Rent Register
as granted to the
Dumlanos means
the exemption
from toddy and not
the rent of toddy
trees: -

- (1) the Dumlanos
did not appear at
the Special Asses-
sors' proposal about
toddy as he simply
ordered "Confirmed
subject to a quit
rent of Rs 222/-"
- (2) there is nothing
to be said on what
grounds the Special
Asses-

W
that the Special Agent
to the Duane Commission
performed & the Duane
Committee sanctioned
the same being
confirmed, subject
to a combined quit
rent of \$222.50 and
that in the title
deed granted to
the Duane
no allusion was
made to the item
of tolls, while in
the Quit rent report
it was included as
one of the items of
revenue granted to
the Duane.

The Exchequer Committee
in response is of
opinion that the
Duane

As to the said proposal, 24

(3) no deduction has been made from the jodi of the village as compensation for today; and

(4) the account & documents produced prove that the amounts assigned by the jodis - Laro were on account of today.

3. The Duam Commr., had agreed to the Special Assistant's proposal as to the amount of jodi given in its entirety, and the absence of any special remarks or observations by him on the question of

25

of Toddy should
 be understood as
 implying that he
 did not dissent
 from those recorded
 by the Special Board.
 The discrepancy
 between the Quith
 Rent register which
 shows that "toddy"
 was granted to
 the Luamdan, and
 the Register of Luqian,
~~and Title Deed~~ which
 seems to show that
 the item was reserved
 to Gout, having been
 brought to the notice
 of the Supl. of
 Luamdan Settlements,
 that office states
 that the entry in
 the

(Title deed contains
 no reservation.)

and "to be" not having been
 recorded.
 under the title
 &c.

Whereas the Court
~~are pleased to~~
~~direct~~ ^{and} ~~following~~
 the opinion of the
 Justices of the
 Supreme Court
 are pleased to direct
 the said laws are
 entitled only to
 the tree grant

4 The claim to said revenue
 is disallowed.

ll

H. S. S. S.

20 The said Commission
 for burning of records
 The Commission
 - 2

1811
 16/2/04

the Quib Rent Register ~~is~~
is incorrect that
the decision in the
Quib Rent should
be taken as final,
and that as regards
the right of the
Lumadan to lease
the trees to the Gout
Contractor it is
a concession im-
plied in the tenure
and as such it
need not have
been specifically
made. Thus the
entry in the Quib
Rent Register on
which the Dyke
Commr. has based
his opinion being
declared, to be
incorrect

28

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

EXCISE

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 19th May 1904

No. 754-5
Ex. F. 11-01

Disallowing the claim of the Inamdars
of Mulakunte village, Tumkur Taluk, to
the Toddy Excise Revenue of the village.

SBP-10-6-04-50

27

*Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Excise, dated 19th May 1904.*

READ—

(1) Correspondence ending with letter No. 2674—125, dated 28th September 1903, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, relating to the Inamdar's claim to the toddy revenue of Mulakunte village, Tumkur Taluk, Tumkur District.

(2) Docket No. 90, dated 9th February 1904, from the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, stating that the entry of the word "toddy" in column 17 of the Quit-rent Register is incorrect and that the decision in the Inam Register should be taken as final.

No. 754-5—Ex. F. 11-01, DATED BANGALORE, 19TH MAY 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—The village has only a date grove but no toddy shop. The Sannad and Nirup alienating the village do not expressly concede the item of toddy to the Inamdars. At the Inam enquiry in 1870, the Inamdars produced accounts showing that the village had a revenue of Rs. 5-0-9 every year from 1859 to 1863, with reference to which the Special Assistant to the Inam Commissioner remarked as follows:—

The item of Rs. 5-0-9 shown in the collection under the head of toddy may be regarded as the ground-rent of the shops in which that article is sold and not conceded to the Jodidars as license for permitting liquor to be sold in the village. In the latter case it should also be resumed. Under the present system of the administration of the Abkari revenue, exclusive privilege of farming toddy belongs to the State and not to private individuals, although the farm is situated on private estates."

The result of the investigation was that the Special Assistant to the Inam Commissioner proposed and the Inam Commissioner sanctioned the Inam being confirmed subject to a combined quit-rent of Rs. 222 and that in the title-deed granted to the Inamdars no allusion was made to the item of toddy, while in the Quit-rent Register it was included as one of the items of revenue granted to the Inamdars.

2. The Excise Commissioner in Mysore is of opinion that the Inamdars are entitled to the Excise revenue from toddy and urges the following grounds for considering that the item of toddy mentioned in the Quit-rent Register as granted to the Inamdars means the Excise revenue from toddy and not the rent of toddy trees—

- (1) the Inam Commissioner did not approve of the Special Assistant's proposal about toddy, as he simply ordered 'Confirmed subject to a quit-rent of Rs. 222,'
- (2) there is nothing to show on what grounds the Special Assistant based his proposal,
- (3) no deduction has been made from the jodi of the village as compensation for toddy; and
- (4) the accounts and documents produced prove that the amounts enjoyed by the Jodidars were on account of toddy.

3. The Inam Commissioner had agreed to the Special Assistant's proposal as to the amount of quit-rent, in its entirety, and the absence of any remarks or observations by him on the question of toddy should be understood as implying that he did not dissent from those recorded by the Special Assistant. The discrepancy between the Quit-rent Register, which shows that "toddy" was granted to the Inamdars, and the Register of enquiry, which seems to show that the item was reserved to Government, having been brought to the notice of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements, that Officer states that the entry in the Quit-rent Register is incorrect, that the decision in the Inam Register should be taken as final and that as regards the right of the Inamdar to lease the trees to the Government Contractor it is a concession the entry in the Quit-rent Register on which the Excise Commissioner has based his opinion being declared to be incorrect and "toddy" not having been conceded under the title-deed, the Government in concurrence with the opinion of the Superintendent of Inam Settlements are pleased to direct that the Inamdars are entitled only to the tree-rent.

4. The claim to Excise revenue is disallowed.

L. ANANTASAMI RAO,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Excise Commissioner in Mysore, returning the record.
The Comptroller, Mysore Government.

Exd.—a. s;